Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/749,410	NERIISHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carla Myers	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application):
(1) <u>Carla Myers</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Susan Dadio</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3/9/04; 3/23/04; 4/10/04/ 4/10/04	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ No	cant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1 and 4		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar	e examiner will provide a v	the interview, since the interview
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the previous notice of allowance lacked the signature of a Primary examiner and stamp and therefore prosecution in the application was being reopened. The examiner also indicated that there were several 112, second paragraph issues that had not previously been addressed in the application and proposed claims to overcome these issues. Applicants authorized the attached examiner's amendment to .